PECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

Naren Thappeta, Esq. From: Naren Thappeta

DEC 2 2 2005

DEC 2' 2 2005

U.S. Paternland Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Peperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Request For Continued Examination (RCE)		Application Number		09//85,884						
		Filing Date		February 15, 2001						
Continue	First Named Inven	tor	Amit PHADNIS							
Address to:	Transmittal	Art Unit		2152						
Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for I	Patents	Examiner Name		Lesniewski, Victor D						
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22	Attorney Docket N	umber	CSCO-002/94701							
Request for Continue	This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2.									
8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2. 1. Submission required under 37 CFR 1.114 Note: If the RCE is proper, any previously filed unentered amendments and amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unders applicant instructs otherwise. If applicants does not wish to have any previously filed unentered amendment(s) entered, applicant must request non-entry of such amendment(s). a. Previously submitted. If a final Office action is outstanding, any amendments filed after the final Office action may be considered as a submission even if this box is not checked. i. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on										
<u>_</u>	WARNING: Information on this form may be included on this form. Provide cred									
	SIGNATURE OF APPLICAL									
Name (Print/Type)	Narendra R. Thappeta		Registration	n No. (Attorney/Agent) 41416						
<u>Signature</u>	Novem that	et -	<u>Date</u> D	ecember 22, 2005						
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile transmilled to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on										
the date shown below. Name (Print/Type)	Narendra R. Thappeta									
<u>Signature</u>	Novem Proft			December 22, 2005						
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.114. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount										

of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. U.S. Department of Commerce. P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

The	PTO	did	not	receive	the	tollowi	ng
liste	d iter	n(s)_	<u>Cr</u>	edit Can	d =	Form	_

5

Reply to Office Action of June 27 2005 Amendment Dated: December 20, 2005 Appl. No.: 09/785,884 Attorney Docket No.: CSCO-002/94701

The rejections are believed to be rendered moot in view of the foregoing amendments.

In particular, the art of record does not appear to disclose or reasonably suggest implementation of the elements of amended independent claims in a single device, as expressly recited. The presented dependent claims are allowable at least as depending from corresponding allowable base claims.

The subject matter discussed in the telephone interview of November 30 2005 is believed to at least substantially be contained in newly added dependent claims 67, 70, 73 and 76, and are thus believed to be independently allowable.

Conclusion

Thus, all the objections and rejections are believed to be overcome, and that all the presented claims are in condition for allowance over the art of record. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned representative if it is believed that an interview might be useful for any reason.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 22 2005

Narendra Reddy Thappeta Attorney for Applicant Registration Number: 41,416

Novem Frappeta